

**Exhibit 6G**

**August 13, 2014 S. Taranto Deposition Transcript**

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 1</p> <p style="text-align: center;">- SUZANNE TARANTO - IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN</p> <p>In Re:                                 ) Chapter 9</p> <p>CITY of DETROIT, MICHIGAN,         ) Case No. 13-53846</p> <p>Debtor.                                 ) Hon. Steven Rhodes</p> <p>DATE: August 13, 2014 TIME: 1:11 p.m.</p> <p>VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF SUZANNE TARANTO, held at the offices of Jones Day, 222 East 41st Street, New York, New York, pursuant to Order, before Hope Menaker, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New York.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 3</p> <p style="text-align: center;">- SUZANNE TARANTO -</p> <p>ELLIOT G. CROWDER, ESQ. (Via Telephone) Stevenson &amp; Bullock, PLC 26100 American Drive Suite 500 Southfield, Michigan 48034 Appearing on behalf of Gabriel Roeder Smith</p> <p>ALSO PRESENT: Kristen Zarnetske, Videographer</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 2</p> <p>1                                 - SUZANNE TARANTO - 2     A P P E A R A N C E S: 3     MIGUEL F. EATON, ESQ. 4     Jones Day 5     51 Louisiana Avenue N.W. 6     Washington D.C. 20001-2113 7     Appearing for The Debtor 8 9     RICHARD U. S. HOWELL, ESQ. 10    Kirkland &amp; Ellis, LLP 11    300 North LaSalle Street 12    Chicago, Illinois 60654 13    Appearing on behalf of Syncora 14 15    DANIEL MORRIS, ESQ. 16    Dentons US LLP 17    1301 K Street, N.W. 18    Suite 600, East Tower 19    Washington, D.C. 20005 20    Appearing on behalf of the Retiree Committee 21 22 23 24 25</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 4</p> <p>1                                 - SUZANNE TARANTO - 2 3                                 INDEX 4     WITNESS: SUZANNE TARANTO 5     EXAMINATION BY                                 PAGE 6     MR. HOWELL   6 7 8     EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION 9     NUMBER         DESCRIPTION                                 PAGE 10    1         Expert report of Suzanne                                 10 11    2         Taranto 12    3         POA 00260505 - 522                                 38 13    4         POA 00260853 - 856                                 60 14                 Proof of Claim                                 127 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p>

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 117</p> <p>1 - SUZANNE TARANTO -  2 first paragraph under D on Page 5 from Ms.  3 Taranto's report.  4 MR. EATON: Okay. Thanks.  5 Q. Did you perform any other work in  6 association with calculating the OPEB claim in  7 this bankruptcy?  8 MR. MORRIS: Object to form.  9 A. We performed the allocation of the  10 claim by individual.  11 Q. Can you describe to me what you mean  12 by "the allocation of the claim by individual"?  13 A. We performed -- we developed a  14 calculation of individual claims based on the  15 settlement amount.  16 Q. So you took the settlement amount and  17 then from that amount were able to come up with a  18 calculation of the amount of claim for each  19 individual based on that amount?  20 A. Yes.  21 Q. Other than the calculation of  22 individual claims once having a settlement claim  23 amount and other than modeling the present value  24 of the City's liabilities to current retirees  25 under the different discount rates in the table at</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 119</p> <p>1 - SUZANNE TARANTO -  2 case?  3 A. No.  4 Q. The numbers in the table on Page 6 of  5 your report represent, based on your calculations,  6 the total amount of benefits that would be owed  7 over time to all of the OPEB eligible retirees  8 present valued back to July 1, 2012, correct?  9 A. Correct.  10 Q. In your report, you also assume that  11 -- or you also opine that \$751 million of the --  12 of benefits will be paid under the 2014 retiree  13 healthcare -- health plan from the City to the  14 OPEB eligible retirees, correct?  15 MR. MORRIS: Object to form.  16 A. That's the calculation of the present  17 value of the new plan, yes.  18 Q. I'm correct that that number  19 represents your calculation of the amount of  20 benefits that will be paid present valued to July  21 1, 2012, to the OPEB retirees under the 2014  22 retiree health plan?  23 MR. MORRIS: Object to form.  24 A. Based on the 2014 plan continuing,  25 yes.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 118</p> <p>1 - SUZANNE TARANTO -  2 the top of page 6, did you do any other work in  3 association with the calculation of the OPEB claim  4 in bankruptcy?  5 A. No.  6 Q. The settled OPEB claim amount is not  7 any of the present value of benefits amounts  8 listed on the chart on the top of page 6 of your  9 report, correct?  10 A. It's my understanding.  11 Q. And do you have an understanding as  12 to how the OPEB claim amount that is the claim  13 amount in class 12 of the plan of adjustment was  14 calculated?  15 MR. MORRIS: I'm going to object.  16 Are you asking for the details of the  17 mediation that led to that settlement?  18 Q. We can have a standing understanding  19 that I'm not asking you to divulge any material  20 that is covered by mediation privilege in this  21 case. And I'm sure counsel will point out to you  22 if they feel that you're treading close to that.  23 So what I am asking is, I'm asking  24 for whether you have independent understanding of  25 how the OPEB claim amount was calculated in this</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 120</p> <p>1 - SUZANNE TARANTO -  2 Q. Do you have any basis to think that  3 the benefits are expected to change from the 2014  4 retiree health plan going forward?  5 MR. MORRIS: Object to form.  6 A. I have no opinion as to what may  7 happen in the future with respect to retiree  8 benefits.  9 Q. Fair enough. You're not offering any  10 opinion regarding changes that may occur to the  11 2014 retiree health plan going forward, right?  12 MR. MORRIS: Object to form.  13 Misstates her testimony.  14 A. I have not offered any opinion as to  15 the future of these benefits.  16 Q. In your view, the difference between  17 what the City would have paid under the 2013 plan,  18 present valued back to July 1, 2012, and the  19 amount that the City will pay under the 2014 plan  20 present valued back to July 1, 2012, would be  21 derived by selecting one of the numbers on the  22 chart on Page 6 and subtracting the \$751 million,  23 correct?  24 MR. MORRIS: Object to form.  25 A. I don't understand the purpose of the</p>

30 (Pages 117 to 120)